From: Sent: To: Subject: DPIE PA Codes Mailbox Tuesday, 30 November 2021 1:22 PM

FW: Webform submission from: The Fun SEPP

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au> Sent: Tuesday, 30 November 2021 1:19 PM To: DPIE PA Codes Mailbox <codes@planning.nsw.gov.au> Cc: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au> Subject: Webform submission from: The Fun SEPP

Submitted on Tue, 30/11/2021 - 13:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

Last name

I would like my submission to remain confidential Yes

## Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Please provide your view on the project I object to it

## Submission

1. I object to any suggestion that the initiatives suggested under the "Fun SEPP" initiative be considered as permanent. A temporary extension of provisions would be more appropriate than a permanent change.

2. I believe that having a significantly enhanced police presence on the streets in entertainment and hospitality areas during the late evening and early morning hours will reduce anti-social behaviour. It is a safe and simple solution. The challenge for our society is how to make this happen.

3. Our society needs entertainment, alcohol and food providers to pay a levy to fund an additional, targeted police presence on the streets where crowds are likely to congregate (foot and bicycle patrols).

4. Hotels should be permitted to trade until 3.00 am, restaurants until midnight and small bars 2.00 am. No shots after 10.00 pm.

5. Hotels and small bars should offer food suitable to mitigate the effects of alcohol consumption. Additional food and coffee providers should be encouraged to lessen alcohol impacts, but only in targeted precincts that are not in close proximity to residential premises after midnight.

6. Public toilets (single use variety to enhance safety) should be open and available throughout the night.

7. Footpaths are public thoroughfares and we object to any suggestion that licensed premises should be able to take over these public assets.

8. When cities and towns are safer as a result of a regular, visible police presence, we expect more people to come out and enjoy the night-time economy. This will be a win for traders and will justify the levy cost.

9. No justification has been given as to why permanent changes need to be made to provide temporary assistance to the recovery of the entertainment and alcohol industries. A temporary extension of provisions would be more appropriate than a permanent change. especially as the aim of this push is for temporary support f the hospitality industry.

## I agree to the above statement

Yes