

Roger Fryer

13 Avoca Avenue

Emu Plains N.S.W. 2750

6 November, 2014

The Manager, Planning Services

Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39

Sydney N.S.W. 2001

Dear Sir/ Madam

Department of Planning Received

1 0 NOV 2014

Scanning Room

Re: Exhibition of Modification Request - Penrith Lakes Scheme DA2MOD5,DA3MOD4 and DA4MOD9

I object to the proposal.

As your advertisement in the Penrith Press states, the proposal is basically to import an additional five million tonnes of Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) and Excavated Natural Material (ENM) from excavation sites in the Sydney Metropolitan Area, at a rate of up to two million tonnes per year, to assist in shaping the site at the Penrith Lakes Scheme.

From a statistical viewpoint alone, please bear with me for a moment as I elucidate what I perceive will be involved:-

Assuming a year equates to 48 working weeks and a working week is 5 days, less another 5 days for public holidays during the year, this equals 235 days in which to move 2 million tonnes of earth to the Lakes site.

Assume that the trucks used to carry the earth are capable of holding 36 tonnes and we have the basis for truck movements. They will equate to 55,555 truck movements into the Lakes site and 55,555 truck movements away from the Lakes site giving the total number of truck movements as 111,110 per year. Work backwards from the foregoing and it will be seen that 111,110 divided by 235 ( working days ) equals 472 trucks per day !! Based on a ten hour day ( to be generous ) and that is 47.2 trucks per hour !! Remember that this is for one year but the proposal involves 5 million tonnes and the above only represents two fifths of the total !!

From where is the soil going to be carted? It will be almost certain that it will come from all

directions thus involving heavy traffic into and out of the Penrith area on major roads, incurring additional noise and air pollution, not to mention the considerable increase in traffic volume and consequent damage to the road system.

As stated above, I object to the proposal by reason that :

- 1. There will be an increase in heavy traffic on all major roads into and out of the Penrith area;
- 2. An increase in noise pollution is likely to occur;
- 3. An increase in air pollution is likely to occur;
- 4. Damage to the roads system is likely to occur.

Insofar as the proposal is concerned, I request that consideration be given to its refusal.

Yours sincerely

Roger Fryer