

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
Sent: Sunday, 27 February 2022 11:26 PM
To: DPE Planning Legislative Reform Mailbox
Subject: HPE CM: Webform submission from: A new approach to rezonings in NSW

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Yellow Category

Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 23:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Carolyn

Last name

Darby

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Gordon

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

27 February, 2022

Dear Ms Paulina Wythes,

Re: A New Approach to Rezonings Discussion Paper

Here we go again with the beleaguered, caring citizens of NSW asked to respond to yet another NSW government disguise for better planning outcomes.

The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment's "A New Approach to Rezonings Discussion Paper" is yet another plan to speed up the ruination of NSW's suburbs, reduce the already meagre opportunity for input from the general community and the ability of councils to retain a semblance of fair control to prevent the decimation that follows when avaricious and, not in a minority, shonky builders are provided the opportunity to sway decision makers. For example, the Crown Casino "show off" is a topical case in point. Hopefully the view from Observatory Hill will be protected by the absolute rejection of the projected plan for the remainder

of the already towering Barangaroo precinct.

The front and centre word “Rezoning” immediately damns the “new approach” for me. The act of rezoning has already destroyed or put at future risk of inappropriate development much precious built and environmental heritage in recent years. It is a despised death knell.

As far as I am concerned, the longer it takes for the planners and the more expensive it is for the developers to eventually get their wiley way, the better it is for the community, the city and the suburbs that are already spoiled with unsympathetic development. Demolitions are rife and the cookie-cutter, private certifier authorized replacements are distressing, given that they are the heritage of the future—that is if they can stay upright long enough.

I am a tad sorry not to have commented more constructively but, after making many a submission, I have little faith in the process because it has proven to be an exercise in futility as sensible suggestions don’t ever seem to “fit the bill”—otherwise there would have been no need for the subject New Approach in the first place.

Yours sincerely,
Carolyn Darby

I agree to the above statement

Yes