

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 10:39 PM
To: DPE Planning Legislative Reform Mailbox
Subject: Webform submission from: A new approach to rezonings in NSW

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Yellow Category

Submitted on Mon, 28/02/2022 - 22:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Ian

Last name

McKenzie

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Mayfield 2303

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

Strategic planning is too important to be controlled by private landowners and/or developers. Control of LEPs and zonings therein should lie with councils and amendments made in close consultation with the communities. Public interest is a necessary consideration that should not be compromised.

LEPs ensures that land use zonings are based on strategic planning guided by planning experts and considering the needs of the community. The proposed changes empower private proponents to push changes based on commercial considerations rather than strategic planning objectives. This benefits the proponent but not necessarily the community or the environment.

All private proponents' rezoning applications should be assessed and determined by Council.

Council or DPE should be able to refuse to issue study requirements at the scoping stage.

Sub-standard and/or controversial proposals require longer to assess, and councils should not be penalized financially if an application is not determined within a given period.

Private rezoning proponents should not have a right of appeal. A zoning determination is a strategic decision and if the council refuses it, it should not be reviewed by the judiciary.

If private rezoning proponents are allowed a right of appeal, then it is natural justice that objectors to the proposal should have the same right of appeal where proposed rezoning is granted.

Also, if private rezoning proponents are allowed a right of appeal, the Land and Environment Court should hear it.

I agree to the above statement

Yes