

I object because

Councils should always have control of any rezoning proposal and thus assessment processes.

This proposal that will allow the proponent of any planning proposal to be given applicant status must be rejected.

There must be improved community consultation re any proposed rezoning than previously. However, if these so called reform proposals are approved, there must be merit appeal rights for any Objections in respect of Cat 2 and 3 rezoning applications.

There should not be allowed any arbitrary time limits, but subject to flexible duration of time dependent on individual case circumstances, particularly where inaccurate or incomplete documentation is provided.

However, in regard to the proposed types of assessment fees, Option 3 would be preferable.

Overall, why does this State Government not consider the opinions of its residents vs developers needs and wants, whose vast profits go into their pockets, as against the health, both medical and physical (which can not be measured in dollars) and well being for future generations. There should be discussions around the future of the land.

Once open space is gone and high risers built, there is no going back.

If proposals like this are approved, you might as well get rid of 1 level of Government in this State.