

Objection Regarding;

Changes to Schedule 1 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011 (SRD SEPP) to enable new or expanded cemeteries with a minimum of 20,000 burial plots to be considered as SSD.

What are the changes?

An Explanation of Intended Effect (EIE) is currently on exhibition and sets out the proposed changes to Schedule 1 of the *State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011* (SRD SEPP). The proposed changes will:

- enable new or expanded cemeteries with a minimum of 20,000 burial plots to be considered as SSD.
- acknowledge cemeteries as key social infrastructure and facilitate timely delivery of new cemetery development through the SSD pathway.

Objection the above is unambiguous and disingenuous either by default or clear omissions, e.g.

And

This change we believe would allow current or future “NSW Planning Minister/s” to approve, allow and grant cemetery sites by “Decree”

- 1 NSW Legislation allows for 3 burials to a plot and reusable plots after twenty-five years!
- 2 Therefore, this change to Schedule 1 has a 60,000 plot start point, in the 10 hectare, with reusable options continuously- continuing, e.g. when “Death Plot 1 is used in 2025, in 2050 this plot with the possibly and allowable 3 x deceased can be dug up and reused, in 2075 the process can start again, dig up, reuse, resale

A possibly multi \$ billion dollar reusable industry!
Currently already gifted to the Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust (CMCT). E.g. Wallacia community and Varrowville, by current NSW Ministers.

- 3 I would describe this a “Large Commercial Development” and with the change to Schedule 1 making it “State significant” almost impossible to stop, empowering the present or future “Planning Ministers” virtual power by “Decree” with no community rites!
- 4 At this current time, we believe a 20,000 ahh excuse me real number 60,000 DA application of this size would/may go from the Planning Ministers office and subsequently transferring of powers to decide, would go to one of the states “Planning Panels” (PP) or the “Independent Planning Commission” (IPC)
- 5 In NSW PP and the IPC approvals are useless processes that are almost certainly appealed to the NSW Land and Environment Court (L&EC). In 2020 of all cases heard, the L&EC ruled only thirteen times (13) against a “Property Developers” appeals. And in the cases knocked back, invited the developers to resubmit plans that would get the project through!

In fact Wallacia community residents, recently won their cases in the PP and IPC, only to have their (Case) overturned by an appointed Commissioner (for only the last 2 years) (Hydrologist) of the L&EC, with no appeals rites apart from “ Law and Legality” A total waste of four years, our time and designed to appear our submissions can do something when in reality it did nothing and our views meant dilly squat. We were treated as mugs.

Penrith Council (PC) utilizing ratepayer’s monies, and that interned monies, for funding lawyers whom fought tooth and nail, against the CMCT and their subsidiaries, through the L&EC, for what? A system that is circular and without appeals on merits and/or objection. A presented case, decided by one person/commissioner of the L&EC that can only be appealed on legal grounds

- 6 “Internments” in NSW has a large scope of interpretation, e.g., burials, cremations, plots etc. etc.
- 7 The changes schedule 1 do not spell out, does this change include/exclude “Crematoriums” at these SSD cemeteries.
- 8 Currently NSW legislation does not “exclude” the burning of pets, in crematoriums both rural and/or family. In NSW the legislation defines “pets” as possible crematorium options. Pets include horses and livestock!
- 9 Who will run these SSD “Cemeteries and Possible Crematoriums” below we point out the current positions of Varrowville and Wallacia, by the CMCT,

Catholic Cemetery Board (CCB) or the Catholic Cemetery & Crematoria (CC&C) and a petition by “Save our Graves” another front! All under the control of Greg Smith, the authorizing official of “Save our Graves” a front to the CMT CCB and CC&C.

These four organisations are the same entity but operate as a subsidiary of each other. And we believe to “muddy” the waters to block discontent by the communities they take over by stealth and with NSW Government Ministers! “Direct dealings”

- 10 Will there be an “Independent” oversight body?
- 11 Will these SSD cemeteries be given to the CCMT CCB and CC&C as a “Large Commercial property development”, a “reusable money making enterprise”, without tender to others than the Catholic Church, and with “Direct dealings”
- 12 Why was the allowance for the Catholic Church (Arch diocese of Sydney) to make possibly \$ billions given to them by this Government, without a tenure and with the exclusion of others, all behind closed Ministers doors. Is this not “DIRECT DEALINGS” between the state of NSW and the church, excluded and defined as corruption?
- 13 Before I’m attacked I am of Catholic Faith, and fulfilled all religious doctrines of my faith! Communion, etc etc, marriage etc etc etc
- 14 Section 11 “Direct dealings (DD)” “A Minister” or “A Principal Officer” under Independent Commission of Corruption (ICAC) guidelines defines, this, it is classed as “corruption and/or possible Corruption.

- 15 We believe it has been brought to Premier Perrottet and Ministers advice by senior bureaucrats, e.g. “Principal Officers x concerns regarding “Direct Dealings” with the CMCT. We believe Wallacia and Varrowville and the development by mystery company Soukutsu Pty LTD need to be cleared up and the “One Crown” report dealt with prior to signing off on this SSD proposal

“ABC report “Meanwhile, a mystery developer has proposed a \$105 billion, 412 million, dollar plan to build another cemetery — which could accommodate 775,000 corpses in graves, ***(x three to a grave remember) (all allowed and possibly to be reused every 25 years current NSW Legislation allows this)*** mausoleums and 2 x crematorium — on an old 100% flood prone cattle property at 1290 Greendale Road, Wallacia”.

This Billion dollar development by Soukutsu Pty LTD with an ACN# is on the banks of Nepean River. It is currently applying for a DA through Liverpool Council; this company by all accounts is Japanese? All web searches turn up nothing except symbols and their meanings? There have been hundreds of objections, by residents, to this proposal.

Now under this proposal;

“Changes to Schedule 1, as little as 20,000 x 3 or this mystery current Liverpool Council DA of 775,000 x 3 could be classed as SSD. One Minister or Government Cabinet decides by virtual “Decree”

Now lets be clear the potential of Soukutsu Pty LTD, under current NSW Legislation allows 3 x deceased to an internment plot, 3 x 775,000 is = 2,325,000. Is Wallacia 2745 earmarked for over two million

deceased; is NSW to begin importing other Countries deceased? Is this where Schedule 1 SSD changes could be/are taking us citizens of NSW.

Appeals it appears are only on Election Days! 1 vote day of a four-year cycle!

• Currently this Government cant or wont,

“acknowledge Secondary High Schools as key social infrastructure and facilitate timely delivery of new school development through the SSD pathway”, for the residents of Luddenham, Mulgoa, Wallacia, Greendale, Silverdale, Warragamba, our ever increasing population growth fueled by property developers, and their development contributions to state funds, our high school students are forgotten and are bused to Penrith and Camden enduring 10 to 11 hr days!

Concluding, this proposed change, takes away further x the community and Councils, objection avenues, although they are extremely limited at present, it appears to be an attempt to bypass opposition, and give back to the (at the time) Planning Minister SSD.

Currently, Wallacia residents know of the apparent direct dealings that occurred between various parties CMCT, Panthers Group, Cabe21, and a disbarred by Australia Securities and Investment Commission (ASIC), director.

This disbarred by ASIC director (Cabe21) cleared half his debt, by the sale of Wallacia Golf Course to the CMCT, all signed of and approved by a NSW Government Minister, further more his dealings with Panther Group, has embarked him on East Side Quarter, and a recent 1 million dollar three day 5th wedding at Hayman Island.

St Johns Park Bowling Club, (SJBC) Temporary Holdings #4 and Panthers, a specific Minister whom had control of the portfolio of NSW Crown Lands and Clubs and Gaming, that dealt with these parties in a very profitable enterprise for the CMCT, CCB, CC&C.

I slander or defame no one, it's all on public record and it was identified through Government Information (Public Access) GIPA that over five hundred emails were identified. We got access to four emails. So another folic of uselessness x the GIPA Act that allows no "Third party Access or Ministers access" correspondence to ever be seen.

The community by SJBC own words have been told our club will close. The Community has lost two residents whilst this, has occurred, the community has lost its News agency. SJPBC has gained Wallacia Bowling Club land and the 27 Poker machine entitlements. It is a lessor of CMCT regarding Wallacia Golf Course.

SSD to much power to one individual Minister!

But it appears we are to gain three cemeteries, a Sydney Water discharge pipeline into Warragamba and Nepean Rivers, a 24-7 recycling plant with two x gas burners, the list goes on and it appears, this NSW Government, has embarked Wallacia NSW to be a toilet, for SSD.

How this whole matter is not before ICAC we the community have no idea, to give another Planning Minister SSD over this current NSW Government mess is preposterous. Corruption in this state was rife, under the Obieds Labor and McDonald. Three men now convicted hold pleasure in Golburn or Long Bay Correctional

Centres and they deserve it. To go back to the past, SSD it appears is power to a Minister to “Decree” at will.

meaning

noun

1. an official order that has the force of law.
"the decree guaranteed freedom of assembly"

Similar:

order
edict
command
commandment
mandate
proclamation
dictum
fiat
promulgation
precept
law
statute
act
bill
ordinance
regulation
rule
injunction
enactment
manifesto
ukase
pronunciamento
firman
decretal
irade
rescript

-
-

verb

1. order (something) by decree.

Similar:

order
command
rule

dictate
lay down
prescribe
pronounce

We object vehemently
Monica and James Murray